1		MR. COHEN: Your Honor, could I ask for some help
2	from Mr.	Topel to ask him to help me to find a document? Your
3	Honor?	
4		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
5		MR. COHEN: I need the can you tell me the
6	Exhibit n	umber for the affiliation agreement in Portland?
7	Thank you	, Your Honor.
8		MR. TOPEL: I can't tell you
9		MR. COHEN: You don't have it?
10		MR. TOPEL: Mr. Shook has it. It's
11		MR. COHEN: We have so many documents, Your Honor,
12	that it's	awful hard to keep this in my head.
13		MR. TOPEL: Well
14		JUDGE CHACHKIN: What is it, does the Bureau know
15	the number	r?
16		MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, it's Bureau Exhibit Number
17	283.	
18		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 283.
19		MR. COHEN: 283. Thank you.
20		MR. SCHONMAN: I think this is another volume.
21	Volume 5.	
22		BY MR. COHEN:
23	Q	Need some help?
24	A	No, I don't need it now.
25	Q	I am not, underscore, not going to ask you about

1	that entire agreement, Mrs. Duff. I want to ask you about
2	paragraph 6-B. And ask you, ma'am, how many hours of TBN
3	programming a week does the Portland station carry?
4	A They carry, let's see, they do their own local
5	Praise Program, which is an hour, which means two hours it's
6	aired, twice, that's three, do a public affairs program, which
7	airs twice a week, so that's another hour. Other than that,
8	it's TBN's programming.
9	Q Thank you.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Did we have a total of Portland's
11	own programming? I know you were saying it to yourself, could
12	you state it for the record, what the total is?
13	MRS. DUFF: The total is approximately three hours
14	on I'd have to let's see. Three hours, I want to be
15	absolutely accurate, without having a program schedule in
16	front of me, I can't be absolutely accurate. Because I'm not
17	sure
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Give us your approximation.
19	MRS. DUFF: It's probably about five and a half, six
20	hours per week, of local of local programming.
21	BY MR. COHEN:
22	Q Now, has that schedule been in effect since Portland
23	began local programming?
24	A No, they started out with fewer programs, and they
25	gradually have built up.

1	Q Do you know, if I gave the specifics on th	at, is	Mr.
2	McClellan a better person to ask than you, or do you	know?	
3	A He has a he has a program schedule.		
4	Q Very good. Now, turning to the Odessa Sta	tion,	am I
5	correct that Odessa always carried the entire TBN Sc	hedule	?
6	A That's correct.		
7	Q If you will notice, ma'am, that the agreem	ent was	8
8	signed by you on behalf of NMTV and Mr. Crouch on be	half o	f
9	TBN. Do you see that?		
10	MR. TOPEL: Mr. Cohen, can you give me the	Exhib:	it
11	number again?		
12	MR. COHEN: Oh, it's the same exhibit.		
13	MR. TOPEL: I know, I've put it away.		
14	MR. COHEN: Sure, I understand.		
15	MR. TOPEL: I thought we were finished.		
16	MR. COHEN: 283.		
17	MR. TOPEL: Thank you. Does the witness h	ave it	in
18	front of her?		
19	MRS. DUFF: Yes.		
20	MR. TOPEL: Mass Media Bureau 283?		
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The witness has it.		
22	BY MR. COHEN:		
23	Q Mr. Crouch was wearing again, his TBN hat,	and no	ot
24	his NMTV hat when he signed that agreement, is that	correct	t?
25	A He signed for Trinity Broadcasting Network	•	

1	Q Now, was there any discussion between you and Dr.
2	Crouch about the provisions of this agreement, before it was
3	signed?
4	A I don't remember really discussing it with him in
5	great detail.
6	Q In point of fact, wouldn't he wouldn't he have
7	then relied upon you to work out the agreement?
8	A Yes, he would have.
9	Q Now, you didn't negotiate with Dr. Crouch about how
10	many hours of TBN programming the Portland station would be
11	required to carry, did you?
12	A No, I didn't negotiate it. I just I just did
13	it.
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Who drew up this agreement?
15	MRS. DUFF: It's a compilation of different
16	actually I was basically responsible for it. We had several
17	agreements that we had used, and this one, I just sort of
18	tailor made, it's a composite of several different agreements
19	that
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: When you said we, your firm TBN?
21	MRS. DUFF: No, I'm talking about yeah, the
22	agreements that I was accustomed to using, yes, when I did
23	TBN's affiliation agreements.
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: So this was a compilation, or a
25	composite of TBN agreements that they had with affiliates, is

1	that what you're saying?
2	MRS. DUFF: Yes, that I was basically responsible
3	for all the affiliations with Trinity, and so I went to my
4	files, and saw different clauses that I liked, and I put them
5	together.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Who was responsible for drawing up
7	these affiliation agreements of which you found when you were
8	counsel for Trinity?
9	MRS. DUFF: It was, most of these, I'm almost
10	positive they were from May and Dunne. There may have been a
11	few lines like on page 9, and paragraph 13-C that were unique
12	which I wanted in for, you know, to give NMTV some protection,
13	that we could cancel if we wanted to, 120 days, and none of
14	TBN's other agreements have that, I couldn't find any, I don't
15	think any other of TBN's agreements have those.
16	BY MR. COHEN:
17	Q Isn't it true that in drafting that agreement, you
18	wanted to draft an agreement that you felt would be fair?
19	A Yes, and I wanted to be sure that NMTV's interests
20	were served.
21	Q And you were wearing you NMTV hat?
22	A Absolutely.
23	Q Now, who took into consideration TBN's interests in
24	terms of preparing that agreement?
25	A Well, Mr. Crouch signed the agreement, he knew that

1	I was familiar with doing agreements, and obviously that there
2	wasn't anything in here that would be harmful for TBN, I it
3	was a straight forward agreement.
4	Q He relied on you, didn't he?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And he relied on you to make sure that TBN's
7	interests were being served also, didn't he?
8	A I think that's pretty obvious that both of us had
9	mutual interests, and was to TBN's interest that this
10	fledgling organization would be able to make it, and of course
11	obviously NMTV had to have an agreement that would beneficial
12	to it.
13	Q But Mr. Crouch, to your knowledge, didn't review the
14	provisions of this agreement, did he?
15	A I don't know for sure if he did or not. I believe I
16	left the agreement with him, and whether he read it
17	thoroughly, I don't know.
18	Q But he didn't discuss the details that the he
19	didn't discuss the paragraphs of the agreement with you, did
20	he?
21	A I don't remember discussing them with him, no.
22	Q And he relied upon you, didn't he?
23	A I'm sure he did.
24	Q And he relied upon you to make sure that both NMTV's
25	interest and TB's interest TBN's interest were fairly

1	treated,	isn't that right?
2	A	I don't know what was in his mind.
3	Q	Wasn't that in your mind?
4	A	It was in my mind to see that NMTV had an agreement
5	that they	could live with.
6	Q	And didn't it also have to be an agreement that TBN
7	could live	e with, in your mind?
8	A	I didn't say that there was a problem for either
9	one.	
10	Q	Would you turn please to Bureau Exhibit 383?
11		JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's in Volume 6.
12		MRS. DUFF: 383?
13		MR. COHEN: Yes.
14		JUDGE CHACHKIN: 386.
15		MRS. DUFF: I'm sorry, 386.
16		MR. COHEN: It's
17		JUDGE CHACHKIN: What is it, 3-8
18		MR. COHEN: 383.
19		JUDGE CHACHKIN: 383, I'm sorry.
20		MR. COHEN: is that television agreement
21	production	n agreement, is that
22		JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's what 383 is.
23		MR. COHEN: Yeah, that's what I want.
24		MRS. DUFF: Yes.
25		BY MR. COHEN:

1	Q Now, you asked Mr. Juggert to prepare this
2	agreement, am I correct?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Now, when Mr. Juggert prepared this agreement, am I
5	correct he was acting on behalf of TBN?
6	A He was actually acting on it was the agreement
7	between Trinity Broadcasting and National Minority and I had
8	asked him to do it, actually I guess he was working for both,
9	because the agreement was, you know, it was for both, and I
10	had asked him to do it on behalf of National Minority, and of
11	course it was for both entities.
12	Q Well, wasn't he acting as Trinity's lawyer in
13	connection with the preparation of this agreement?
14	A He didn't charge NMTV for it, so I don't know. It
15	was one of those things that, it was for the mutual benefit of
16	both.
17	Q But wasn't he acting as Trinity's Lawyer, in
18	connection with the preparation of
19	A I guess you could
20	MR. TOPEL: Objection.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: You could answer.
22	MR. TOPEL: This is an objection.
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I think the question was asked.
24	MR. COHEN: She hasn't answered.
25	MR. TOPEL: No, I think the same question wasn't

4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: You can answer the question.
1	
2	MR. COHEN: Let me ask the question again, since
3	you've heard this and the Judge is permitting me to ask the
4	question.
5	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'm also permitting her to answer
6	the question.
7	MR. COHEN: Of course. But since there's been an
8	objection
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, go ahead, ask the
10	question.
11	BY MR. COHEN:
12	Q Isn't it correct that Mr. Juggert was acting as
13	Trinity's lawyer in connection with the preparation of the
14	agreement?
15	MR. TOPEL: My objection is that that was asked and
16	answered.
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.
18	MR. TOPEL: There's a question as to whether she
19	should answer it.
20	MR. COHEN: You need to answer it.
21	MRS. DUFF: Oh,
22	MR. TOPEL: When the Judge says my objection is
23	overruled, you have to you answer the question fast.
24	MRS. DUFF: I would think that he was he was
25	doing it National Minority, because that's the context of my

1	when I called him, I asked him to do this, for National
2	Minority.
3	MR. COHEN: For purposes of impeachment, Your Honor,
4	I want to read the witness's deposition of October 8th, page
5	109, line 19. Question, he, referring to Mr. Juggert, "Was
6	acting as Trinity's lawyer, I take it, in connection with the
7	preparation of this agreement?" Answer, "Yes."
8	MR. TOPEL: Which day, Mr. Cohen?
9	MR. COHEN: October 8th.
10	MR. TOPEL: It's the second day?
11	MR. COHEN: Yes.
12	BY MR. COHEN:
13	Q Now, insofar as Mr. Juggert is concerned, isn't it
14	true that when significant matters are brought before the NMTV
15	Board, you believe it important to have Mr. Juggert's counsel
16	and advice?
17	A I like to have Norm available to do the minutes, and
18	there were times when I did invite him to the meeting because
19	I felt that it was important to be able to ask his advice.
20	Q I would ask you again, isn't it true, that when
21	significant matters were brought before the NMTV Board, you
22	believed it was important to have Mr. Juggert's Counsel and
23	advice?
24	MR. TOPEL: I believe the witness answered to
25	MR. COHEN: She didn't.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.
2	MRS. DUFF: Yes.
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Was Mr. Juggert paid by NMTV for
4	any of his services?
5	MRS. DUFF: I don't believe so.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: He was paid by TBN for his services
7	to TBN, isn't that right?
8	MRS. DUFF: I didn't quite understand.
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: He was paid by TBN for any services
10	performed on behalf of TBN?
11	MRS. DUFF: Yes. Can I qualify that?
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
13	MRS. DUFF: There were times that he did do things
14	for TBN free of charge. But generally speaking he did charge
15	for his services, but not every single thing that he did.
16	BY MR. COHEN:
17	Q Has TBN forgiven any portion of the NMTV
18	indebtedness at any time?
19	A I don't believe so.
20	Q Now, do you know of your own personal knowledge what
21	NMTV's debt is to TBN at the present time?
22	A I think it's about \$5 million.
23	Q Now, is NMTV paying off its debt to TBN?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And on what basis?

,	No moving 627 000 a month
1	A We're paying \$27,000 a month.
2	Q Now, has that action of paying \$27,000 a month, has
3	that been memorialized in writing?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And when was it memorialized in writing?
6	A It was memorialized in writing, I guess it was July
7	31st, '90 I guess it was '92.
8	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I'm not being contentious,
9	but I'd like to know whether that document was supplied in
10	discovery, it may have been, but I'm not aware of it?
11	MR. TOPEL: Our only document bearing that date is
12	the one that you examined the witness on, there's a subsequent
13	document that's in the record, that you have, but it doesn't
14	bear that date.
15	MR. COHEN: But there is no well, but I assume
16	by document, you're referring to a document memorializing what
17	the witness just testified to, about the payment of \$27,000 a
18	month.
19	MR. TOPEL: The only document dated in the time
20	frame that the witness spoke of is the one you examined her
21	about yesterday. There's no other document memorializing the
22	payment of that date. There's a different document.
23	MR. COHEN: What's the number of that document to
24	help me, it's the July 31, 1992 memorandum you're referring
25	to, right?

1	MR. SCHONMAN: Bureau Exhibit 399.
2	BY MR. COHEN:
3	Q If you could please refer to the document that Mr.
4	Topel was gracious enough to help me find. And that's the
5	memorandum which I asked you about yesterday, and I don't
6	propose to ask you the same questions. But what I'm confused
7	about, ma'am, is you testified that NMTV is paying off its
8	debt at the rate of \$27,000 a month. And I'd ask you whether
9	that action had been memorialized in writing. And you
10	referred to this memorandum. And as I read this memorandum,
11	it doesn't refer to a payment of \$27,000 a month. Am I I'm
12	not catching on here.
13	A This speaks to annual payments, but the annual
14	payments have been changed to monthly payments.
15	Q And does the action changing the annual payments to
16	monthly payments, was that memorialized in writing?
17	A I don't believe it was. We just divided the
18	payments up.
19	Q Is interest being paid on the debt that NMTV owes
20	TBN, to your knowledge?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How much interest is being paid on the debt? If you
23	know?
24	A I can't remember.
25	Q Would but you're certain that interest is being

1	paid?	
2	A	I can't remember I'm not sure.
3	Q	In
4	A	We were negotiating that at one time, and I can't
5	remember	for sure, whether we got it reduced, I know that
6	there was	negotiations, but I can't remember what the actual
7	result of	that negotiation was.
8	Q	When did these negotiations take place?
9	A	About the time that we did the calculations.
10	Q	That are referred to in
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And between whom did the negotiations take place?
13	A	Myself, and the actually I think the Board had
14	some disc	ussions about it.
15	Q	The Board?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Which Board?
18	A	The Board of NMTV, and the Board of TBN.
19	Q	Is that memorialized in writing anywhere?
20	A	I'm not sure if it is or not.
21	Q	Would you look at Exhibit 386, Bureau Exhibit 386?
22	I have re	ference to page 2 of the minutes, the second
23	paragraph	. Do you see that?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Now, it states "After discussion it was determined

1	that a five year plan was feasible." This is a five year plan
2	to bring the Corporation out of debt. Was the five year plan
3	implemented?
4	A Well, this here says seven year plan, in the second
5	paragraph.
6	Q Well, read the last sentence. After discussion it
7	was determined that a five year plan was feasible.
8	A I stand corrected.
9	Q My question is has the five year plan been
10	implemented?
11	A The document speaks to that, you know the
12	memorialization of that, in the July agreement.
13	Q Now, my question again is is it your testimony then
14	that NMTV's debt to Trinity is being will be paid off at
15	five years on the basis of a payment of \$27,000 a month?
16	A No, there will be a balloon payment.
17	Q Balloon payment, now, is that a matter that has been
18	memorialized in writing?
19	A I'm not sure if it was or not, I don't believe so.
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, the Board here approved a
21	five year plan, I'm referring to the 1992 Annual meeting. Was
22	there a subsequent meeting where the Board modified the plan
23	that they were agreeable to?
24	MRS. DUFF: I don't remember any such meeting that
25	was memorialized, no. But it just doesn't, you know, it

1	doesn't figure out, I don't believe, when you look at the
2	figures, I don't think it figures out the debt will be totally
3	reduced, making those kind of payments.
4	MR. COHEN: It doesn't come close.
5	JUDGE CHACHKIN: So what I don't understand,
6	referring to NM Bureau Exhibit 399, if you didn't have any
7	authority from the Board, to re-negotiate the agreement, what
8	was the basis of your acting as an authorized officer in this
9	memorandum?
10	MRS. DUFF: Well, the authorization came from this
11	original meeting, and we were acting on the Board's action
12	that we had been given authority to come up with the plan to
13	reduce the debt and that's what we did.
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, you were given authority,
15	according to the minutes here, to establish a five year plan.
16	Now, the memorandum does not deal with a five year plan, so
17	I'm saying where did you get the authority then to re-
18	negotiate the repayment plan?
19	MRS. DUFF: Well, we thought that we had the
20	authority that we needed to do whatever was necessary to pay
21	off the debt and that was the desire of the Board, to make
22	sure that the debt was paid. And if we have to go back to the
23	Board again, and discuss it, that's all we have to do.
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, but this is July, 1992, and
25	

1	MRS. DUFF: We're making
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: over a year has past, and my
3	question is who gave you the authority to enter into this
4	memorandum? Where did this authority come from?
5	MRS. DUFF: It came from the Board.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But where is there anything at the
7	Board's meeting, and I ask you whether it was any subsequent
8	meeting where they permitted you to modify this five year
9	plan, and you indicated to me there was not. I'm saying where
10	is there in the 1992 annual meeting of NMTV, any authority to
11	you to negotiate the agreement which is set forth in Bureau
12	Exhibit 399?
13	MRS. DUFF: Well, we thought we had the authority
14	given to us according to this annual meeting in 1992.
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But you've admitted that under the
16	plan set forth in the memorandum, you would not pay off the
17	debt in five years.
18	MRS. DUFF: But we would have made substantial
19	strides in paying it off.
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand, but the Board
21	apparently was only interested in establishing a plan that
22	would pay off the debt in five years. Now, you've admitted to
23	me that the memorandum does not permit such a repayment of
24	debt. And I'm asking you then, where did you have the
25	authority to modify the Board's action as set forth at their

1	meeting?
2	MRS. DUFF: Well, I
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Who gave you that authority?
4	MRS. DUFF: Well, I think our actually out income
5	is what indicated what we could afford to pay, and that's what
6	that's what we did and that's why we paid the \$27,000.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But you did this on your own,
8	without getting the approval of the Board, isn't that correct?
9	MRS. DUFF: I did what I thought the Board had
10	authorized me to do, yes, sir.
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, go ahead, Mr. Cohen.
12	BY MR. COHEN:
13	Q Now, I want to turn to another subject, Mrs. Duff.
14	Have you ever consulted with any attorney other than Norman
15	Juggert or Colby May's law firm, regarding contracts between
16	NMTV and TBN?
17	A About contracts?
18	Q Yes.
19	A No.
20	Q Isn't it true that Colby May told you he had a
21	conflict of interest in connection with his firm representing
22	TBN and NMTV?
23	A He told me that there was a conflict of interest
24	since he represented both entities, if there were ever
25	anything that, you know, that couldn't be resolved. That

1	there would be a conflict, and I understood that also
2	understood that I could get an attorney any time I wanted to
3	for NMTV.
4	
	Q But he told you he had a conflict of interest, isn't that correct?
5	
6	A That is correct.
7	Q Yes. Now, isn't it true that you discussed your
8	conversation with Colby May, the conversation you had with
9	Colby May and with Paul Crouch?
10	A I might have discussed it with Paul. But I knew at
11	any time that there was a problem, that I perceived that I
12	needed to get additional legal advice, I would not have
13	hesitated to do so.
14	Q Isn't it true that you discussed your conversation,
15	the conversation you had with Colby May, with Paul Crouch?
16	A I probably did, I don't really I can't really
17	focus on it right now, the specific discussion I had with him,
18	but it's the type of thing I probably would discuss with him.
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: By the way, did do we have in
20	the record, the promissory notes which is set forth in a
21	memorandum?
22	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, a promissory note
23	wasn't executed until January 1, 1993, and it reflects a five
24	year payment plan. It's in the record.
25	MR. COHEN: Well, that's accurate.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But the promissory note referred to
2	here in the Bureau Exhibit 399, which apparently I understand
3	notes were I understand payments were being made. There
4	are no notes memorializing those payments?
5	MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, I don't want to testify
6	obviously.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I'm just saying, are there
8	any notes memorializing those payments?
9	MR. TOPEL: No, I think the witness testified
10	yesterday that she was unaware of any note having been
11	executed pursuant to the July '92 memorandum.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Continue, Mr. Cohen.
13	BY MR. COHEN:
14	Q Now, getting back to the conflict of interest that
15	Colby May advised you he had, did you discuss that
16	conversation, and I'm talking about by that conversation, the
17	conversation where Mr. May advised you he had a conflict of
18	interest, did you discuss that conversation with any other
19	director besides Paul Crouch, Director of NMTV?
20	A I don't specifically remember talking to each and
21	every one, it might have come up in a board meeting, but I
22	just don't have a specific memory of what context. I'm sure
23	that I did, but I can't focus on exactly what context.
24	Q I want to refer Your Honor to the witness's
25	deposition October 8th, 1993, line 9.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: What page?
2	MR. COHEN: 115. Did you mention it to any other
3	directors of NMTV? Answer, "I don't remember specifically
4	talking to them about it." Question, "Do you remember
5	generally talking to them about it?" Answer, "No."
6	MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, how
7	MR. COHEN: I'll go on.
8	MR. TOPEL: do we deal with these impeachment
9	issues Mr. Cohen
10	MR. COHEN: Let me continue what I'm reading, Your
11	Honor.
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Just one second.
13	MR. COHEN: Let me continue, I have more to read.
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
15	MR. COHEN: Question, "Do you remember talking to
16	anybody other than Mr. Crouch, that's Paul Crouch I take it?"
17	Answer, "Yes." Question, "Yes, did you discuss this with
18	anyone other than Paul Crouch?" Line 21, "I don't believe I
19	did."
20	BY MR. COHEN:
21	Q Now, isn't it true that you realized that Norman
22	Juggert had a conflict of interest in representing both TBN
23	and NMTV?
24	A Yes, I was aware of it.
25	Q And he didn't even need to tell you that, you knew

1	it on your own, didn't you?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Isn't it true that you didn't discuss Norman
4	Juggert's conflict with any of the directors of NMTV who were
5	not Trinity Employees?
6	A I don't really remember discussing it, you know,
7	with him. You know, I just assumed that they would have the
8	same type of knowledge or information that I would have.
9	Anybody would know that, you know, if one attorney was
10	representing more than one entity, that they would have a
11	conflict of interest, I wouldn't think that would be so
12	difficult to come up with on one's own.
13	Q I understand, but do you remember talking about it
14	to any of the other directors?
15	A I don't remember whether I did or not.
16	Q You don't recall discussing anything?]
17	A No.
18	Q Now, isn't it true that in connection with the
19	hiring of Mr. Topel's law firm, that the Board of Directors of
20	NMTV recognize that there was a conflict of interest between
21	NMTV, and TBN?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Would you please turn to Bureau Exhibit excuse
24	me, Your Honor. Bureau Exhibit 334. Am I correct that that's
25	a generic promissory note?

1		MR. TOPEL: No, 334 is not.
2		MR. COHEN: Then I'm confused. I'm in error.
3		BY MR. COHEN:
4	Q	Turn to Glendale Exhibit 195. Now, that document is
5	a generic	promissory note prepared by Mr. Juggert, is that
6	correct?	
7	A	I haven't gotten to it, I'm sorry.
8		MR. TOPEL: Excuse me. What number
9		MR. COHEN: 195.
10		BY MR. COHEN:
11	Q	Glendale 195, you're probably confused.
12	A	No, I have it.
13	Q	You have Glendale 195?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	All right.
16		MR. TOPEL: The first page is a
17		MR. COHEN: It's on the letterhead of
18		MRS. DUFF: A fax.
19		MR. COHEN: A fax sheet, okay, we got it.
20		MR. TOPEL: Okay.
21		BY MR. COHEN:
22	Q	Now, did Mr. Juggert prepare that generic promissory
23	note?	
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And when he prepared that note, was he acting on

1	behalf of NMTV or Trinity or both?
2	A Well, his cover sheet says "Jane, I'm transmitting a
3	draft of a form of a generic promissory note for National
4	Minority."
5	Q On whose behalf was he acting?
6	A I had asked him to do it for me. So I was assuming
7	that he was doing it for National Minority. This is a draft
8	agreement.
9	Q I want to go to the witness's testimony October 8,
10	1993, deposition testimony, page 124, line 20. "Now, when Mr.
11	Juggert prepared this note, this generic promissory note, was
12	he acting on behalf of NMTV, or Trinity or both parties?"
13	Answer, "It would have been on the part of both parties. I
14	don't think he charged NMTV for it."
15	Bureau Exhibit 368, would you please turn to that?
16	MR. TOPEL: What volume is that in, for the witness?
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Volume 6.
18	MR. TOPEL: It's in Volume 6.
19	MRS. DUFF: 368?
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: What number, Mr. Cohen?
21	MR. COHEN: 368. Is that the secured promissory
22	note?
23	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes.
24	BY MR. COHEN:
25	Q Now, who drafted that secured promissory note?

1	A It looks like a note that Norm would generate. Or
2	it could have been Colby May, I'm not absolutely sure. It
3	looks like
4	Q Either Mr. May or Mr. Juggert?
- 5	A Yes, it could have been either one, really.
6	Q Did you believe that NMTV's interests and Trinity's
	interests were identical in connection with the provisions of
7	
8	that note?
9	A Well, NMTV was the debtor, and Trinity was the note
10	holder, so I don't know how you could say that the interests
11	were identical. We were the debtors, TBN was the one that had
12	the debt.
13	Q Now, concerning the terms of that note, did you
14	discuss the terms with any officer or director of TBN?
15	A Well, obviously I discussed it with Norm Juggert.
16	Q And you were wearing your NMTV hat, weren't you, in
17	connection with those discussions?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And when you discussed it with Mr. Juggert, were you
20	looking to Mr. Juggert as TBN's lawyer, or NMTV's lawyer, or
21	was he the lawyer for both parties?
22	A Well, I was accustomed to dealing with this type of
23	a note, it's something that I would be doing as my
24	responsibility and I've seen notes like this, so I didn't feel
25	like I was, you know, totally inexperienced at all, I didn't